1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		DISTRICT COLIDS
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	RIMANPREET UPPAL, an Individual,	Case No. 3:14-cv-02629-VC
12	Individually and on behalf of, all others similarly situated and the general public,	[ASSIGNED TO THE HONORABLE
13	Plaintiff,	JUDGE VINCE CHHABRIA]
14	v.	<i>REVISED</i> [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF
15	CVS PHARMACY, INC., a Rhode Island corporation; CVS RX SERVICES, INC., a	CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, SETTING OF A FINAL APPROVAL
16	New York corporation; GARFIELD BEACH CVS, LLC, a California limited liability	HEARING, AND APPROVAL OF NOTICE TO THE CLASS
17	company; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	NOTICE TO THE CLASS
18	Defendants.	
19	The Court, having fully reviewed the Class Representative's unopposed Motion for	
20	Certification of Settlement Class and Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, the	
21	supporting Points and Authorities, the Declaration of V. James DeSimone ("DeSimone	
22	Declaration") in support thereof, the Request for Exclusion Form attached as Exhibit 4 to the	
23	DeSimone Declaration, as well as the fully-executed CVS 7 Day Cases Settlement Agreement and	
24	the Addendum to the Settlement Agreement (collectively, the "Settlement Agreement") attached as	
25	Exhibit 1 to the DeSimone Declaration, the parties' Joint Supplemental Memorandum in Support	
26	of Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement and Provisional	
27	Certification of Class, the Declaration of Michael D. Seplow ("Seplow Declaration") in support	

Case3:14-cv-02629-VC Document33 Filed09/11/15 Page1 of 8

thereof, and the proposed Notice of Class Action Settlement attached as Exhibit 3 to the Seplow

28

Declaration, and the amended complaint lodged with the Court; and in recognition of the Court's duty to make a preliminary determination as to the reasonableness of any proposed class action settlement, and if preliminarily determined to be reasonable, to ensure proper notice is provided to Putative Class Members in accordance with due process requirements; and to conduct a Final Approval hearing as to the good faith, fairness, adequacy and reasonableness of any proposed settlement, HEREBY MAKES THE FOLLOWING DETERMINATIONS AND ORDERS:

- 1. The provisions of the Settlement Agreement are hereby provisionally approved, subject to further consideration thereof at the Fairness Approval hearing set forth below. The Court finds that this settlement is sufficiently within the range of reasonableness that notice of the proposed settlement should be given as provided for in this Order.
- 2. The Court finds, on a preliminary basis, that the Settlement Agreement appears to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement which could ultimately be given final approval by this Court; the Court notes that Defendants CVS Pharmacy, Inc.; CVS RX Services, Inc.; and Garfield Beach CVS, LLC ("Defendants") have agreed to pay the entire Settlement Amount of \$2,350,800.00, inclusive of the employer's share of payroll taxes, to the Putative Class Members, Class Representative, Class Counsel, the Settlement Administrator, and the State of California Labor Workforce and Development Agency, in full satisfaction of the claims as more specifically described in the Settlement Agreement;

It further appears to the Court, on a preliminary basis, that the settlement is fair and reasonable to Putative Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation, liability and damages issues, and potential appeals of rulings. It further appears that significant formal and informal discovery, investigation, research, and litigation has been conducted such that counsel for the Parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. It further appears that settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the litigation. It also appears

that the proposed Settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, informed and noncollusive negotiations between the Parties;

3. The Court finds for the purposes of settlement only that: (i) the number of individuals in the Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder would be impractical; (ii) there is a commonality of interests between the Class Representative and the members of the Settlement Class; (iii) there are questions of law and fact that are common to the Settlement Class, and the common questions related to the settlement predominate over individual questions; (iv) the Class Representatives' claims are typical of the claims of absent members of the Settlement Class; and (v) the Class Representatives will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the absent members of the Settlement Class.

ACCORDINGLY, GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, THE MOTION FOR ORDER OF PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT IS HEREBY GRANTED.

- 4. The mailing to the present and last known addresses of the Putative Class Members constitutes an effective method of notifying Putative Class Members of their rights with respect to the Settlement; ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:
- (a) By **September 21, 2015**, Defendants shall forward to the appointed Settlement Administrator, Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC ("KCC") and to Class Counsel, a database (in an electronic spreadsheet format) of all Putative Class Members, including full names, last known addresses, telephone numbers, hire and termination dates, and social security numbers, as well as raw punch data and pay data ("Class Information"), during the Class Period (March 27, 2010 through April 30, 2015); and
- (b) By **October 5, 2015**, KCC shall mail to each member of the Settlement Class, by first class, postage pre-paid, the Notice of Class Action Settlement, Claim Form, and Request for

Exclusion Form. All mailings shall be made to the present and/or last known mailing address of the Putative Class Members based on Defendants' records, as well as addresses that may be located by the Settlement Administrator, who will conduct standard address searches in cases of returned mail. The Court finds that the mailing of notices to Putative Class Members as set forth in this paragraph is the best means practicable by which to reach Putative Class Members and is reasonable and adequate pursuant to all constitutional and statutory requirements, including all due process requirements;

5. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED:

- (a) Claim Forms must be mailed to the Settlement Administrator, postmarked on or before **December 4, 2015**, excepting Putative Class Members who had Notice Packets re-mailed, who shall have until **December 21, 2015** to mail a timely Claim Form;
- (b) Requests for Exclusion must be mailed to the Settlement Administrator, postmarked on or before **December 4, 2015**, excepting Putative Class Members who had Notice Packets re-mailed, who shall have until **December 21, 2015** to mail a timely Request for Exclusion;
- (c) Objections must be mailed to the Settlement Administrator as described in the Notice of Class Action Settlement, and must be postmarked on or before **December 4**, **2015**, excepting Putative Class Members who had Notice Packets re-mailed, who shall have until **December 21**, **2015** to mail a timely Objection;
- 6. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that KCC shall submit a report on the result of the claims process to Class Counsel, which shall be filed with the Court as soon as practicable but in any event no later than **January 25, 2016**.

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

26

25

27 28

the extent allowed by the Court, in support of or in opposition to, the Court's determination of the good faith, fairness, reasonableness and adequacy of the proposed Settlement, the requested attorneys' fees and litigation expenses, and any Order of Final Approval and Judgment regarding such Settlement, fees and expenses; provided, however, that no person, except Class Counsel and counsel for Defendants, shall be heard in opposition to such matters unless such person has complied with the conditions set forth in the Notice of Class Action Settlement, except that those persons who wish speak at the Final Approval Hearing without having followed said procedures may do so if they demonstrate good cause to the Court;

- 12. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court grants preliminary approval of V. James DeSimone, Michael D. Seplow, and Aidan C. McGlaze of Schonbrun DeSimone Seplow Harris & Hoffman LLP; Thomas W. Falvey, Michael H. Boyamian and Armand Kizirian of the Law Offices of Thomas W. Falvey; Hirad D. Dadgostar and Azadeh Dadgostar Gilbert of Dadgostar Law LLP; and Alireza Alivandivafa as Class Counsel;
- 13. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in the event of the occurrence of the Effective Date, as defined in the Settlement Agreement:
- All Putative Class Members who submitted a valid Claim Form, and their (a) successors, shall conclusively be deemed to have given full releases of any and all Released Claims as defined in the Settlement Agreement against Defendants, their affiliated and related companies and business concerns, their franchisors, their purchasers and/or successors, their parents, members, subsidiaries, past and present, and each of them, as well as each of their insurers, partners, trustees, directors, shareholders, officers, agents, attorneys, servants, and employees, past and present, and each of them, including, but not limited to, any individual or entity which could be jointly liable with Defendants;
- (b) All Putative Class Members who submitted a valid Exclusion Form, and their successors, shall not be bound by the terms of the Settlement;

- (c) All Putative Class Members who fail to submit either a valid Claim Form or a valid Exclusion Form, and their successors, shall conclusively be deemed to have given full releases of any and all Released Claims as defined in the Settlement Agreement against Defendants, their affiliated and related companies and business concerns, their franchisors, their purchasers and/or successors, their parents, members, subsidiaries, past and present, and each of them, as well as each of their insurers, partners, trustees, directors, shareholders, officers, agents, attorneys, servants, and employees, past and present, and each of them, including, but not limited to, any individual or entity which could be jointly liable with Defendants, except as to any and all claims arising under the Fair Labor Standards Act (29 U.S.C. §§201, et seq.);
- 14. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if, for any reason, the Court does not execute and file an Order of Final Approval, or if the Effective Date does not occur for any reason whatsoever, the proposed Settlement Agreement and the proposed Settlement subject of this Order and all evidence and proceedings had in connection therewith, shall be without prejudice to the status quo ante rights of the parties to the litigation as more specifically set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 15. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pending further order of this Court, all proceedings in this matter except those contemplated herein and in the Settlement Agreement are stayed.
- 16. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the amended complaint in the above-captioned action that has been lodged with the Court shall be deemed filed as of the date of this order.

The Court expressly reserves the right to adjourn or continue the Final Approval Hearing from time-to-time without further notice to the Putative Class Members.

1		
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
3	Contomb or 44, 2045	
4	DATE: September 11, 2015 THE HONORABLE VINCE CHHABRIA	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	REVISED (PROPOSED) ORDER FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT.	